## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB LANSING LANDFILL

Date: 5		مالكح		~
Fime: _/	Weather Conditions:	<u> 4</u>	<u> </u>	•
i mi⊙. <u> </u>	1	Yes	No	Notes
CCR Lai	ndfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	)		
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or		1	•
1.	localized settlement observed on the	·	. /	·
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing			1
	CCR?			
· 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells			/
μ.	containing CCR or within the general landfill			
	operations that represent a potential disruption	İ		
	to ongoing CCR management operations?			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or	•		
ے.	within the general landfill operations that			
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of			
	the CCR management operations.			
		(4)) 		
CCR F	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(	; <del>+</del> ///		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting			
	period? If answer is no, no additional			
	information required.			105 VIS 00 (NO)
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust		i/	I A HOY N USA
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?			100
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR	,		
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to			
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not			
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?			
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on	1	, /	· ·
	landfill access roads?			
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the			
0-	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe			·
	corrective action measures below.			
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control			
-	measures effective? If the answer is no,		}	
	describe recommended changes below.			
10	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen			
10.	complaints received during the reporting			
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question			
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			TIVIT
11.	TO TO THE STATE OF	<del>!</del>	<u> </u>	
	1 DT-to-o-	. •		-
Additio	nal Notes:	1		
		1		
	•			•
		ĺ	• .	

## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date:	Inspector:	els?	<u></u>	
ime:	Weather Conditions: Karr			•
		Yes	No	Notes
CCR Lar	adfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	)		
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	:		
· 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to operation CCR management operations?		V	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	1		
CCR Fu	agitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(	(4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.			
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?			150Hom ASV
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	<u></u>		-
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?			
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.			
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.			
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			11/4
Addition	nal Notes:			
		}		